

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/617,131	07/11/2003	Akifumi Kamijima	116461	2942		
25944	25944 7590 05/25/2005 EXAMINER					
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928		ALANKO, ANITA KAREN				
	IA, VA 22320		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
·			1765			

DATE MAILED: 05/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/617,1	31	KAMIJIMA, AKIFUMI	
	Office Action Summary	Examine	•	Art Unit	
		Anita K. A	Janko	1765	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation appears on the	e cover sheet with the o	correspondence address -	
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION IN THIS COMMUNION IN THIS COMMUNION IN THE PROPERTY OF THIS COMMUNION IN THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evunication. of days, a reply within the state tutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tinutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2	b)⊠ This action is n	on-final.		
3)[Since this application is in condition f	or allowance except	for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practic	e under <i>Ex parte Qu</i>	<i>ayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the a	pplication.	•		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar	e withdrawn from co	nsideration.		
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-11 are subject to restriction	n and/or election red	quirement.		
Applicati	on Papers				
9)□	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.			
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		☐ objected to by the	Examiner.	
ـــر٠٠	Applicant may not request that any object				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	- · ·	•	, ,	
111	The oath or declaration is objected to	•		•	
''/	The ball of declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. 14th	ole the attached Office	Action of form F 10-132.	
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim f ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	or foreign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	1. Certified copies of the priority of	documents have bee	n received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority of			ion No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of		• •		
	application from the Internation	•		3.	
* 5	 See the attached detailed Office action	,		ed.	
			•		
Attachmen	t(s)				
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary		
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	-	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate	
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)	
S. Patent and T		Office Action Summa	ry	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 0505	

Application/Control Number: 10/617,131

Art Unit: 1765

Election/Restrictions

Page 2

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-10, drawn to a method, classified in class 205, subclass 1+.

II. Claim 11, drawn to a product, classified in class 428, subclass 1+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by a different process, such as vapor depositing a metal and etching to pattern rather than selective plating.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Species Restriction

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: type of conductive thin film pattern

- A. Magnetic head (claims 5-7)
- B. Inductor (claims 8-9)
- C. Micro device (claim 10).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-4 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

An election includes an election of Group I or Group II, a species election (species A, B or C) and the claims that correspond to the elected species.

A telephone call was made to J. Kern on May 20, 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Art Unit: 1765

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anita K. Alanko whose telephone number is 571-272-1458. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri until 2:30 pm (Wed until 11:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine Norton can be reached on 571-272-1465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Anta K. Harko

Anita K Alanko Primary Examiner Art Unit 1765